An Efficient RDF Converter and SPARQL Endpoint for the Complete OpenStreetMap Data
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ABSTRACT

We present osm2rdf, a tool for converting OpenStreetMap (OSM) data to RDF triples, along with an efficient SPARQL endpoint and a convenient user interface for formulating SPARQL queries on that data. Unlike previous tools, osm2rdf retains all data provided by OSM, including the complete object geometries. Optionally, the tool can output explicit triples realizing the spatial relations contains and intersects. We provide weekly updates of the data (for the whole planet and also per continent and per country) on https://osm2rdf.cs.uni-freiburg.de. The tool is publicly available on GitHub. The SPARQL endpoint is realized via the open-source SPARQL engine QLever. We extended QLever to enable the efficient geometric filtering of a result by a given axis-parallel rectangle. The QLever UI provides interactive context-sensitive autocompletion that helps constructing SPARQL queries without prior knowledge of the details of the data.
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1 INTRODUCTION

OpenStreetMap (OSM) is a collaborative mapping project, which provides the most widely used open geo dataset in the world. There are several established ways to query the OSM data:

1. Filter the raw files using tools like osmfilter, osmconvert, osmium, or the libosmium library; see https://github.com/osmcode.
2. Use the Overpass API, a search engine built especially for OSM data, with its own query language; see http://overpass-api.de.
3. Use a relational database with spatial data support. The most widely used setup here is PostgreSQL with the PostGIS extension and a loading script called osm2pgsql; see https://osm2pgsql.org.

We extend this by a new tool for converting OSM data to RDF triples, along with an efficient SPARQL endpoint and a convenient user interface that allows incremental query construction. Different from the tools above, this allows users to search the OSM data without prior knowledge of an arcane query language or of the details of the data representation. We provide evidence that query times are comparable with or better than those of the engines mentioned above. Very importantly, the "schema-less" RDF data model allows easy combination with other datasets, for example Wikidata (many OSM objects are linked to their corresponding Wikidata entities). Different from existing conversion tools to RDF, our tool converts the complete OSM data (including all the geometric information), and does so particularly efficiently. Optionally, our tool can add spatial relations between objects as explicit triples. This enables basic geometric queries also for SPARQL engines without explicit GeoSPARQL support. Figure 1 shows a spatial SPARQL query in the process of being built interactively, using our SPARQL engine and user interface.

1.1 OSM Data and the RDF Data Model

OSM objects come in three types: nodes, which hold a single coordinate, ways, which are ordered lists of nodes and may be used to hold polygonal objects (either open, like streets, or closed, like buildings), and relations, which may group arbitrary objects and are also used to model polygonal areas with holes. Each OSM object can have an arbitrary set of key-value pairs, called tags.

Figure 1: Left: Interactive SPARQL query on the complete OSM data, converted to RDF triples using our tool osm2rdf. The query asks for all university buildings in Neuburg (osm-rel:1960203), a district of the city of Freiburg. The suggestion for “university” is high up in the list of suggestions because the district contains many university buildings. The predicate ogc:contains is supported via explicit triples, see Section 4. Right: an excerpt of the query results, displayed on a map.
RDF is an elegantly simple data model, where the data is represented as a set of subject-predicate-object statements, called triples. Such data can also be considered as a directed graph (then often called a knowledge graph), where each distinct subject or object is a node, and each triple corresponds to an edge (from the subject to the object, labeled with the predicate). Two common serialization formats of RDF data are N-Triples (one triple per line, with full-identifier names, called IRIs) and Turtle (a more compact format, which allows abbreviations for repeated prefixes). The standard query language for RDF data is SPARQL, which is closely related to the standard database query language SQL. In fact, SPARQL queries can be translated to equivalent SQL queries, and indeed are when using tools like PostgreSQL. For spatial queries, there is a standardized extension called GeoSPARQL [7]. Figure 2 gives an example for a spatial SPARQL query, along with the RDF triples (produced by our tool, in Turtle format) relevant for answering the query.

1.3 Contributions

We consider the following as our main contributions:

- We present an open-source tool osm2rdf, which converts OSM data to RDF triples efficiently and preserving the information of all tags and of all geometries.
- We provide a dedicated website https://osm2rdf.cs.uni-freiburg.de with weekly data dumps in RDF Turtle format: for the whole planet, as well as for each continent and each country.
- We also provide on that website an efficient SPARQL endpoint for the whole data, with support for basic spatial queries.
- We also provide a user interface for constructing SPARQL queries on the OSM data using interactive context-sensitive autocompletion and for displaying the results on a map.
- We provide a preliminary evaluation, which compares the features and performance of our engine with Sophox, the Overpass API, and PostgreSQL with PostGIS.

2 CONVERTING OSM DATA TO RDF TRIPLES

To convert OSM data to RDF triples, we have to consider four types of information: (1) the object IDs; (2) the object tags, which are string-based key-value pairs; (3) the geometric data associated with the objects; (4) the member relationships between objects (a node may be part of a way, anything may be part of a relation).

We use the following prefixes: osmkey: (for the predicates corresponding to keys), osmmeta: (for information that is implicitly contained in the OSM data), osmnode: osmrel: osmway: (for information pertaining to one of these object types), and osm: (for other information). For each OSM object, we compose a unique IRI by
Table 1: The dimensions of our testing datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FR</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nodes</td>
<td>12.42M</td>
<td>46.71M</td>
<td>337.22M</td>
<td>2.72B</td>
<td>6.50 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ways</td>
<td>1.82 M</td>
<td>7.72 M</td>
<td>55.03 M</td>
<td>326.43 M</td>
<td>718.51 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relations</td>
<td>31.60 K</td>
<td>101.67 K</td>
<td>677.84 K</td>
<td>5.51 M</td>
<td>8.38 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>areas</td>
<td>1.24 M</td>
<td>5.43 M</td>
<td>39.62 M</td>
<td>234.80 M</td>
<td>499.37 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The number of triples generated by osm2rdf for our testing datasets, and the time needed to generate them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FR</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>DE</th>
<th>EU</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>triples</td>
<td>22.05 M</td>
<td>89.51 M</td>
<td>598.73 M</td>
<td>3.38 M</td>
<td>4.67 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time</td>
<td>19.80 s</td>
<td>1.38 min</td>
<td>10.21 min</td>
<td>1.08 h</td>
<td>2.32 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

concatenating the suitable prefix and the OSM ID of the object. For example, the Eiffel tower in Paris becomes osmnode: 8698332214, La Rambla in Barcelona becomes osmway: 126336685.

2.1 Tags and Member Relationships

For each key-value pair of each OSM object, a triple is generated: the subject is the IRI of the OSM object, the predicate is the concatenation of osmkey: and a grammar-conform escaping of the key, and the object is the value as an RDF string literal. Since key and value can be arbitrary strings, care has to be taken to obtain valid IRIs. Our tool also considers several special cases in the OSM data. For example, semicolons are sometimes used to define a set of values and osm2rdf offers the option to produce multiple triples for such values for given keys. For explicit relations between OSM objects in the OSM data, we use the special osmrel:member predicate.

2.2 Spatial Data

For each OSM object with a geometry, we add a triple with the IRI of the object as subject, the predicate geo:hasGeometry, and the geometry as object. The object is a string literal written in the Well-Known Text format (WKT), a standard way to serialize geo:hasGeometry objects. This task is nontrivial because in the OSM data, only nodes have explicit point geometries, while ways are represented via sequences of node IDs. A way is interpreted as an open or closed polygon, depending on certain conditions. We also consider (multi-)polygons described by OSM relations. We optionally add a triple connecting the OSM object to its bounding box via the osmmeta:envelope predicate. This allows for the efficient filtering of a result by a given axis-parallel rectangle; see Section 4.

2.3 Performance and Weekly Updates

On https://osm2rdf.cs.uni-freiburg.de, we provide weekly updated data dumps in RDF Turtle format, for the entire planet, as well as for each continent and each country. To get a feeling for the size of the raw data, Table 1 provides the number of OSM objects (by type) in the following five regions: the city of Freiburg (FR), the state of Baden-Württemberg (BW), Germany (DE), Europe (EU), and the entire planet (P). For each of these datasets, Table 2 provides the number of triples and the processing time required by osm2rdf, without the spatial triples described in Section 4. Processing times were measured on a machine with an AMD Ryzen 7 3700X processor, 128 GB of RAM, and a 1.7 TB SSD. Our tool is carefully engineered in all its components and uses multi-threading where useful. The conversion of the OSM data of the whole planet to almost 5 billion triples takes only about 2.5 hours.

3 SPARQL ENDPOINT AND UI VIA QLEVER

On https://osm2rdf.cs.uni-freiburg.de, we also provide a SPARQL endpoint via the QLever SPARQL engine [2]. QLever maps each entity and literal from the given RDF data to a unique integer ID. The query is then processed entirely in this ID space. QLever always materializes all intermediate results during query processing. This is different from other SPARQL engines, where results are typically produced one element at a time. QLever is generally much faster for difficult queries with large (intermediate) results.

QLever also provides efficient support for context-sensitive autocompletion, as described in [3]. At each point in the query, an autocompletion query is launched (itself a SPARQL query, to the same dataset) that provides suggestion for subjects, predicates, or objects (depending on the position in the query). The suggestions are always meaningful continuations of the query typed so far, in the sense that they lead to a query with a non-empty result. In the example query of Figure 1, the suggested objects are only building types, and more than that, only building types that actually occur in the region specified by the preceding part of the query.

4 SUPPORT FOR SPATIAL QUERIES

We have extended QLever by a filter operation that takes a variable, say ?x, that matches an arbitrary set of entities with a geometry, and an axis-parallel rectangle R. The operation is realized by adding the triple ?x osmmeta:envelope ?x_env (see Section 2.2), and then checking for each binding of ?x_env whether the geometry lies in R. Through a compact and clever representation of the bounding boxes, this approach can filter around 50M entities per second.

To enable ogc:contains queries (as shown in Figures 1 and 2) even on SPARQL engines without explicit support for such queries, osm2rdf has an option to generate explicit triples for this relation. We distinguish between areas (all ways and relations in the OSM data that can be represented as a multi-polygon, that is, a closed polygon or a set of such polygons) and all other objects. We compute two predicates: osm2rdf:contains_area (whether an area is contained in another area, excluding containments which follow by transitivity) and osm2rdf:contains_nonarea (whether a non-area is contained in an area which is minimal with respect to the partial ordering defined by osm2rdf:contains_area). The predicate ogc:contains is then realized by the SPARQL predicate path osm2rdf:contains_area"/osm2rdf:contains_nonarea?. Note that this mechanism avoids a single very large predicate for ogc:contains. Figure 2 gives an example, where only the osm2rdf:contains_area part is needed. The ogc:intersects relation is realized analogously. The pre-computation of these predicates is currently slow (16 hours for Germany, 48 days for the whole planet). However, once they are computed, SPARQL queries using them are fast.

1https://wiki.openstreetmap.org/wiki/Area
We briefly compare the features and performance of our extended version of QLever to the three engines mentioned in Section 1.2 (PostGIS, with data imported by osm2pgsql, the Overpass API, and Sophox) on the two datasets DE (Germany) and P (the whole planet) from Table 1. We did not compare to OSCAR because their (text-search) query language does not support the precise semantics needed for Q2 - Q4.

Table 3: Running time of the four example queries described in Section 5.2. The — means that the query timed out. Query Q3 on Sophox only considers the centroids (‘).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>QLever</th>
<th>Overpass API</th>
<th>PostGIS</th>
<th>Sophox</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>61 ms</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7 min</td>
<td>8 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>390 ms</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6 min</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>391 ms</td>
<td>169 ms</td>
<td>100 ms</td>
<td>4.5 s *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>134 ms</td>
<td>300 ms</td>
<td>188 ms</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 COMPARISON TO EXISTING ENGINES

We briefly compare the features and performance of our extended version of QLever to the three engines mentioned in Section 1.2 (PostGIS, with data imported by osm2pgsql, the Overpass API, and Sophox) on the two datasets DE (Germany) and P (the whole planet) from Table 1. We did not compare to OSCAR because their (text-search) query language does not support the precise semantics needed for Q2 - Q4.

5.1 Features Comparison

Tags: Our tool osm2rdf retains all tags for all nodes, ways, and relations. Overpass also retains this information. Sophox discards tags, where the keys have non-ASCII characters. The PostGIS database also stores only a subset of the keys. The reason is that osm2pgsql stores tags in a table with one column per key, and PostgreSQL has a limit of 1,600 columns per table; see https://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/limits.html. The complete OSM data currently uses about 90,000 different keys.

Geometries: osm2rdf includes all the geometries included in the raw OSM data, as does Overpass and osm2pgsql. Sophox only stores a centroid point for each entity.

Query Language: QLever, Sophox, and PostGIS can be used with a standard query language (SPARQL or SQL, respectively). Overpass uses its own (rather arcane) query language.

5.2 Performance Comparison

We compare all engines on four typical queries. This is not an exhaustive evaluation; we leave this to future work. Still, this comparison gives a hint at which engine works how well (or not) with which kind of queries. For each engine, we formulated each query in the respective query language, asking for both the object IDs and their geometries. We measured the query processing times.

(Q1) All university buildings
(Q2) All university buildings in the bounding box of Germany
(Q3) All university buildings in the bounding box of Freiburg
(Q4) All university buildings in Freiburg

The evaluation for the Overpass API and osm2pgsql/PostGIS was run on a machine with two Xeon E5649 processors and 96 GB of RAM. The evaluation for QLever was run on a machine with one Xeon E5-1650 processor and 256 GB of RAM. The latter machine was almost twice as fast, which is why in Table 3, for the Overpass API and PostGIS, we state half the running time that we actually measured. The Overpass API was run with relaxed constraints for query time and memory usage. For PostGIS, we used a dedicated PostgreSQL instance with default configuration and the default settings of osm2pgsql. In this setting, indices are built only for the primary key columns (IDs). To allow arbitrary index-backed queries, we would have to build an index for every column, which is unrealistic (additionally, the number of OSM keys greatly exceeds the maximum number of columns, as described above). For Sophox we used the endpoint provided at https://sophox.org. Queries Q1-Q3 were run on the complete OSM data (P), and for all four engines. Query Q4 was run on the OSM data of Germany (DE), but not for Sophox because it does not support queries involving spatial relationships between objects. It should be noted that Sophox can query an Overpass API endpoint via the SPARQL SERVICE keyword, but we already compare to Overpass explicitly.

For QLever and Sophox, Q1 is a simple join, but QLever processes joins much more efficiently. Overpass and PostGIS scan all ways (around 689 million) and filter them by building=university.

Q2 is Q1 plus the efficient bounding box filter described in Section 1.2 for QLever. For Overpass and PostGIS it is the same problem as for Q1, but filtered to the bounding box of Germany. Sophox first computes all objects in the given bounding box, which times out if the bounding box is too large.

For QLever, Q3 is comparable to Q2. Overpass and PostGIS can handle this query by first computing all objects in the (small) bounding box, and so does Sophox.

QLever computes Q4 using the property paths described in Section 4. For Overpass and PostGIS, the query processing is similar as for Q3, except that the filtering is now by a complex geometry.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We have presented osm2rdf, a tool for efficient conversion of the complete OSM data to RDF, as well as a SPARQL endpoint for the efficient and convenient querying of this data. We showed how explicit spatial triples can support basic spatial queries, also for SPARQL engines without GeoSPARQL support. However, the pre-computation of these spatial triples is currently very slow and we consider it a very interesting and relevant open problem to speed this up. We also plan to conduct a more extensive evaluation and comparison of the four engines.
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