# Free Energy Minimization

Idea:

- Overcome the main draw back of Nussinov's algorithm: base pair maximization not realistic!
- Define an energy model for RNA, which can be parameterized by experimentally measured energies
- Devise an algorithm that minimizes the free energy of RNA according to this model
- Algorithm (by Zuker) will be similar to Nussinov's algorithm



## Gibbs Free Energy

### Definition (Gibbs Free Energy)

The Gibbs Free Energie G of a system (e.g. dilution of RNAs) is

$$G = H - TS$$

where H is the enthalpy (potential to perform work), T the absolute temperature and S the entropy (measure of disorder).

#### Remarks:

- For RNA, we will compute the free energy of (a certain amount  $N_A \approx 6 \cdot 10^{23}$  of molecules, a "mol") of a certain structure *P*. More precisely, we compute the *change of free energy*  $\Delta E$  due to folding into *P* from  $P_{\text{unfolded}} = \{\}$ .
- The (change of) Gibbs free energy corresponding to *P* can be computed by summing free energy contributions from single "structural elements".
- Those contributions (for loops, stacks, ...) can be measured experimentally (Turner). They consist of enthalpic and entropic terms. Due to the latter, they depend on temperature.



# Free Energy — Example



overall  $\Delta G = -4.6$  kcal/mol



# Free Energy Model of RNA — Definitions

#### Definition (Secondary structure elements/Loops)

Let S RNA sequence of length n, P RNA structure of S. Call  $1 \le i \le n$  unpaired in P, iff there is no j, s.t.  $(i,j) \in P$  or  $(j,i) \in P$ .

- (i,j) ∈ P closes a hairpin loop iff all k : i < k < j unpaired in P</li>
- (i,j) ∈ P closes a stacking loop iff (i+1,j-1) ∈ P
- (i,j) ∈ P and (i',j') ∈ P form an internal loop (i,j,i',j') iff
  - i < i' < j' < j
  - (*i*, *j*) does not close a stacking loop
  - all  $i + 1, \dots, i' 1$  and  $j' + 1, \dots, j 1$  unpaired in P



# Free Energy Model of RNA — Definitions, ctd.

- An internal loop (i, j, i', j') is called left (right) bulge, iff j = j' + 1 (i' = i + 1), respectively.
- A *k*-multiloop consists of *k* base pairs  $(i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k) \in P$  and a closing base pair  $(i, j) \in P$  with the property that

• 
$$i < i_1 < j_1 < i_2 < j_2 < \cdots < i_k < j_k < j$$
  
•  $i + 1 \dots i_1 - 1; j_1 + 1 \dots i_2 - 1; \dots; j_{k-1} + 1 \dots i_k - 1; j_k + 1 \dots j - 1$  unpaired in  $P$   
 $(i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$  close the **inner base pairs** of the multiloop.



## Remarks



- Usually hairpin loops have minimal loop size of m = 3 $\Rightarrow$  for all  $(i, j) \in P$ : i < j - 3.
- each secondary structure element is defined uniquely by its closing basepair
- for any basepair (i, j) we denote the corresponding secondary structure element with Sec(i, j).



### Definition (Energy contribution of loops)

Energy contributions of the various structure elements:

- hairpin loop (i, j): eH(i, j)
- stacking (*i*, *j*):
- internal loop (i, j, i, j'): eL(i, j, j')
- multiloop:

$$eS(i, j)$$
  
 $eL(i, j, i', j')$   
 $eM(i, j, i_1, j_1, ..., i_k, j_k)$ 

#### Remark

General multi loop contribution will be too expensive in prediction: exponential explosion!

 $\Rightarrow$  Use a simplified contribution scheme.

### Definition (Simplified energy contribution of multiloops)

• multiloop

a, b, c =weights,

$$\mathsf{eM}(i,j,k,k') = a + bk + ck'$$

- a = energy contribution for closing of loop
- k = number of inner base pairs

k' = number of unpaired bases within loop



#### Definition (Free Energy of an RNA)

Given an RNA structure P of an RNA sequence S.

**loop free energy**:  $E_{ij}^P :=$  energy contribution of Sec(i,j)**total free energy**:  $E(P) := \sum_{(i,j)\in P} E_{ij}^P$ 

#### Remark

more precisely we could write  $E_S(P)$ , since energy of P also depends on  $S \rightarrow$  we assume S is fix



# Problem of Free Energy Minimization

#### Definition (RNA Structure Prediction by Energy Minimization)

- IN: RNA sequence S
- OUT: non-crossing RNA structure *P* of *S*, such that

$$E(P) = \min_{P' \text{ nc RNA structure of } S} E(P')$$



# Zuker's Algorithm for RNA Energy Minimization

#### Remarks

- Plan: the Zuker-Algorithm will be specified by defining matrix entries and giving recursion equations. Analogously to Nussinov, those recursions can be evaluated effictiently by DP. The optimal structure is obtained by Traceback.
- Do we need a *completely* new algorithm?

#### Definition (W-matrix)

For an RNA sequence S, define the Zuker-matrix W as a matrix of entries  $W_{ij}$  for  $1 \le i \le j \le n$  by

$$W_{ij} := \min\{E(P) \mid P \text{ nc RNA } ij \text{-substructure of } S\}.$$

#### Remark

E(P) can be used to evaluate a *ij*-substructure *P*, since *P* is still an RNA structure. Silently, we assume that sequence outside of base pairs does not contribute to the energy. Otherwise, indices for the subsequence range could be added, i.e. write  $E_{ij}(P)$  instead of E(P).

## Zuker Recursion, Take 1

Initialisation: (for  $j - i \leq m$ )

$$W_{ij}=0$$

Recursion: (for i < j - m)

$$W_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} W_{ij-1} & -j \text{ unpaired} \\ \min_{i \le k < j-m} W_{ik-1} + W_{k+1j-1} + E(???) & -j \text{ paired} \end{cases}$$



# Zuker Recursion: W-Recursion and V-matrix

Initialisation: (for  $j - i \leq m$ )

$$W_{ij}=0$$

Recursion: (for i < j - m)

$$W_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} W_{ij-1} & -j \text{ unpaired} \\ \min_{i \le k < j-m} W_{ik-1} + \frac{W_{ij}}{V_{kj}} & -j \text{ paired} \\ V_{kj} \end{cases}$$

#### Definition (V-matrix)

For an RNA sequence S, define the Zuker-matrix V as a matrix of entries  $V_{ij}$  for  $1 \le i \le j \le n$  by

 $V_{ij} := \min\{E(P) \mid P \text{ nc RNA } ij \text{-substructure of } S, \text{ where } (i,j) \in P\}.$ 

"minimal energy of any closed ij-substructure of S"



$$V-\text{Recursion, Take 1}$$
Initialization: (for  $j - i \leq m$ )  

$$V_{ij} = \infty$$
Recursion: (for  $i < j - m$ )  

$$V_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} eH(i,j) & -hairpin \ loop \\ V_{i+1,j-1} + eS(i,j) & -stacking \ loop \\ \min_{i < i' < j' < j} V_{i',j'} + eL(i,j,i',j') & -interior \ loop/bulg \\ \min_{k,i < i_1 < j_1 < \dots < i_k < j_k < j} eM(i,j,i_1,j_1,\dots,j_k,j_k) & -multi-loop \\ + \sum_{1 \leq k' \leq k} V_{i_k'j_{k'}} \end{cases}$$

#### Remarks

- V-recursion for *general* multi-loop energy
- complexity: multi-loop case exponential
- now: optimize using simplified multi-loop energy



$$V-\text{Recursion, Take 1}$$
Initialization: (for  $j - i \le m$ )  

$$V_{ij} = \infty$$
Recursion: (for  $i < j - m$ )  

$$V_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} eH(i,j) & -hairpin \ loop \\ V_{i+1,j-1} + eS(i,j) & -stacking \ loop \\ \min_{i < i' < j' < j} V_{i',j'} + eL(i,j,i',j') & -interior \ loop/bulg \\ \min_{k,i < i_1 < j_1 < \dots < i_k < j_k < j} eM(i,j,i_1,j_1,\dots,j_k,j_k) & -multi-loop \\ + \sum_{1 \le k' \le k} V_{i_k'j_{k'}} \end{cases}$$

#### Remarks

- V-recursion for general multi-loop energy
- complexity: multi-loop case exponential
- now: optimize using simplified multi-loop energy



# Simplified Multi-loop Energy — Example

- In general: multi-loop energy depends on everything: inner base pairs  $(i_1, j_1) \dots (i_k, j_k)$ , closing base pair (i, j), and sequence.
- Simplification: dependency only on number of inner base pairs k and number of unpaired bases k'.
- Example:



general: eM(2, 42, 7, 15, 19, 27, 30, 38)simplified: eM(2, 42, k, k') = a + bk + ck', where k = 3: inner base pairs within loop k' = 12: unpaired bases within multi-loop

• We will use: New multi-loop energy is additive



# Efficient V-Recursion and WM-matrix

Initialization: (for  $j - i \le m$ )  $V_{ij} = \infty$  "as before" Recursion: (for i < j - m)

$$V_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} eH(i,j) & --hairpin \ loop \\ V_{i+1,j-1} + eS(i,j) & --stacking \ loop \\ \min_{i < i' < j' < j} V_{i',j'} + eL(i,j,i',j') & --interior \ loop/bulge \\ \min_{i < k < j} WM_{i+1k} + WM_{k+1j-1} + a & --multi-loop \end{cases}$$

#### Definition (WM-matrix)

For an RNA sequence S, the Zuker-matrix WM has entries  $WM_{ij}$  for  $1 \le i \le j \le n$ :

 $WM_{ij} := \min\{E_{ij}^m(P) \mid P \text{ nc RNA } ij\text{-substructure of } S, P \text{ not empty}\},\$ 

where  $E_{ij}^m$  evaluates P as part of a multi-loop (i.e. including energy contributions b, c due to inner base pairs, unpaired bases)



# Efficient V-Recursion and WM-matrix

Initialization: (for  $j - i \le m$ )  $V_{ij} = \infty$  "as before" Recursion: (for i < j - m)

$$\mathcal{V}_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} \mathsf{eH}(i,j) & --\text{hairpin loop} \\ V_{i+1,j-1} + \mathsf{eS}(i,j) & --\text{stacking loop} \\ \min_{i < i' < j' < j} V_{i',j'} + \mathsf{eL}(i,j,i',j') & --\text{interior loop/bulge} \\ \min_{i < k < j} WM_{i+1k} + WM_{k+1j-1} + a & --\text{multi-loop} \end{cases}$$

#### Definition (WM-matrix)

For an RNA sequence S, the Zuker-matrix WM has entries  $WM_{ij}$  for  $1 \le i \le j \le n$ :

 $WM_{ij} := \min\{E_{ij}^m(P) \mid P \text{ nc RNA } ij \text{-substructure of } S, P \text{ not empty}\},\$ 

where  $E_{ij}^m$  evaluates P as part of a multi-loop (i.e. including energy contributions b,c due to inner base pairs, unpaired bases).



### Remarks to Definition of WM-matrix

we defined:

" $WM_{ij} := \min\{E_{ij}^m(P) \mid P \text{ RNA } ij\text{-substructure of } S, P \text{ not empty}\}, where <math>E_{ij}^m$  evaluates P as part of a multi-loop"

#### Remarks

- "*P* not empty" ensures that the multi-loop case in the *V*-recursion cannot recurse to non-multiloops
- " $E_{ij}^m(P)$  evaluates P as part of a multi-loop" means that  $E_{ij}^m$  adds to E(P) contributions c for unpaired bases (here we need i and j) and contributions b for inner base pairs of this part of a complete multi-loop. Define

$$E^m_{ij}(P) := E(P) + kb + k'c,$$

where k is the number of *external* base pairs and k' the number of *external* unpaired bases in P.



# WM-Recursion

Initialization: (for  $j - i \leq m$ )

$$WM_{ij} = \infty$$
 (*ij*-substructure *P* non-empty!)

Recursion: (for i < j - m)

$$WM_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} WM_{ij-1} + c & -j \text{ unpaired} \\ WM_{i+1j} + c & -i \text{ unpaired} \\ V_{ij} + b & -closed \\ \min_{i < k < j} WM_{ik} + WM_{k+1j} & -non-closed \end{cases}$$

#### Remark

decomposition complete — cases not distinct (which is ok for minimization!)



# Zuker-Algorithm: Summary

- 3 matrices:
  - W minimal energy of general substructure  $i \dots j$
  - V minimal energy of closed substructure  $i \dots j$
  - WM minimal energy of true part of a multi-loop  $i \dots j$
- recursions equations

$$\begin{split} W_{ij} &= \min \begin{cases} W_{ij-1} \\ \min_{i \le k < j-m} W_{ik-1} + V_{kj} \end{cases} \\ V_{ij} &= \min \begin{cases} eH(i,j), V_{i+1,j-1} + eS(i,j) \\ \min_{i < i' < j' < j} V_{i',j'} + eL(i,j,i',j') \\ \min_{i < k < j} WM_{i+1k} + WM_{k+1j-1} + a \end{cases} \\ WM_{ij} &= \min \begin{cases} WM_{ij-1} + c, WM_{i+1j} + c, V_{ij} + b \\ \min_{i < k < j} WM_{ik} + WM_{k+1j} \end{cases} \\ immediate complexity: O(n^4) time, O(n^2) space \end{split}$$



# **Complexity Revisited**

 $O(n^2)$  matrix entries Multi-loop branching: "only" O(n)Interior loop:  $O(n^2)$  limiting! Trick: reduce complexity of limiting case. simplest:

bound maximal interior loop size to some constant L(e.g. 30)

$$W_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} W_{ij-1} \\ \min_{i \le k < j-m} W_{ik-1} + V_{kj} \end{cases}$$
$$V_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} eH(i,j), V_{i+1,j-1} + eS(i,j) \\ \min_{i < i' < j' < j} V_{i',j'} + eL(i,j,i',j') \\ \frac{s.t.i'-i+j-j'+2 \le L}{\min_{i < k < j} WM_{i+1k} + WM_{k+1j-1} + a} \end{cases}$$
$$WM_{ij} = \min \begin{cases} WM_{ij-1} + c, WM_{i+1j} + c, V_{ij} + b \\ \min_{i < k < j} WM_{ik} + WM_{k+1j} \end{cases}$$



# Complexity Revisited

#### Theorem. (Zuker)

Given an RNA sequence *S*, Zuker's algorithm predicts the minimal energy structure *P* of *S* among all non-crossing structures that have interior loops of at most size L in  $O(n^3)$  time and  $O(n^2)$  space.

#### Remarks

- Minimal free energy in W<sub>1n</sub>
- We assume traceback is done analogously to Nussinov-Traceback. Same reduced complexity. Only extension: trace through three matrices, i.e. keep track of matrix.



### Implementations

- Michael Zuker's Mfold / Unafold
- Ivo Hofacker's Vienna RNA Package: RNAfold
- Example:

```
will@aha: $ RNAfold
```

additionally: produces file rna.ps



### Implementations

- Michael Zuker's Mfold / Unafold
- Ivo Hofacker's Vienna RNA Package: RNAfold
- Example:

```
will@aha: $ RNAfold
```

additionally: produces file rna.ps



### Implementations

- Michael Zuker's Mfold / Unafold
- Ivo Hofacker's Vienna RNA Package: RNAfold
- Example:

```
will@aha: $ RNAfold
```

additionally: produces file rna.ps



# Example: tRNAs





# **Application Scenarios**

• Biologist finds new RNA (usually this means only sequence!)

- get (first idea of) structure by using RNAfold
- see whether similarities to known structures exist. Can we guess the RNA family by characteristic shape?



• Biologist has several RNAs. Are they similar by structure?

Recall: why is sequence not sufficient? Think about: how much tells minimal free energy structure? Would you trust a computer?



# The Ensemble of RNA Structures

#### Example: some good structures of the RNA sequence

GGGGGUAUAGCUCAGGGGUAGAGCAUUUGACUGCAGAUCAAGAGGUCCCUGGUUCAAAUCCAGGUGCCCCCU

free energy in kcal/mol

The set of all nc RNA structures of an RNA sequence S is called *(structure) ensemble*  $\mathcal{P}$  *of* S.



- BIG PLUS: energy model very realistic
- Best we have (so far)
- Still mfe structure may be wrong: Why?
- Lesson: be careful, be sceptical! (as always, but in particular when biology is involved)
- What would you improve?

